

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. ROY 1450

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/747,909	12/22/2000	Lucy Broyles	4013-00100	4442		
30652 CONLEY ROS	7590 07/06/200°	EXAMINER				
5700 GRANITE PARKWAY, SUITE 330			GATES, ERIC ANDREW			
PLANO, TX 75024			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3722			
	•					
. "			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			07/06/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)		
BROYLES, LUCY		
Art Unit		
3722		
-		

-	•	Life A. Gales	i	3122	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	h the co	rrespondence add	ress
THE REI	PLY FILED <u>18 June 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	LICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALI	OWANCE.	
this pla a F tim	e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or one s application, applicant must timely file one of the follow ces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance e periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendmentice of Appeal (with appeal fectors with 37 CFR 1.114. The reserved	ent, affic ee) in co	lavit, or other evider empliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing				
b) 🔀	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the	mailing	date of the final rejecti	on.
	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7				
have beer under 37 set forth it may reduce	is of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late ce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding a shortened statutory period for rep r than three months after the mai	amount o ply origin	f the fee. The appropr ally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
	e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 mi	ust be f	led within two month	ns of the date of
filir	ng the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37	(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
(a)	ne proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (se			ecause
	They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	ially red	ucing or simplifying	the issues for
(d)	They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of fina	allv reie	cted claims.	
(-7	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		,		•
4. 🔲 Th	ne amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Non-Cor	npliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 A _l	oplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s));			
	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a n-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a sep	oarate, t	imely filed amendme	ent canceling the
ho Th	r purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) withe new or amended claims would be rejected is proestatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		⊠ will	be entered and an e	explanation of
	aim(s) allowed: aim(s) objected to:				
	ain(s) objected to: ain(s) rejected: <u>17-20,23-26,28-36 and 38-42</u> .				
Cla	aim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
	VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
be	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bucause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an s not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
en	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing tered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under	r appea	l and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. 🔲 T	he affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
	he request for reconsideration has been considered buildered buildered buildered buildered buildered buildered	ut does NOT place the applic	ation in	condition for allowa	nce because:
	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
13. ∐ 0	ther:		_		7 (- 100
			4	Monica CARTE	R TYAMINED
		S	HPFR\	ISORY PATENT E	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the motivation to combine is found in the references themselves and in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. Johnson explains that the completed album will provide additional interest for third parties viewing the album (for example, a pre-reader) in a way that a disorganized collection of individual photographs will not, and that albums may be provided for special occasions such as trips to theme parks, amusement parks, or the zoo, and that personalized photographs and text may be added to the album. An album related to any of these trips would obviously hold interest for a small child and could be used to teach reading skills. Panec teaches a shared experience between a reader and a pre-reader, including the use of repetitive text to facilitate the teaching experience. Both of these patents relate to printed matter that is designed to be shared with another person, such as a pre-reader, and the motivation to combine would be for the purpose of encouraging and facilitating the novice reader to learn to read by making the experience more interesting for the child, which would therefore facilitate the reading skills of the pre-reader.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).